

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,721 06/26/2003 lan R		Ian Robinson	NG(ST)-6445	5804
²⁶²⁹⁴ TAROLLI, SU	7590 05/23/2007 NDHEIM, COVELL & TU	EXAMINER		
1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700			BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL	
CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	K	
-	フリ	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/606,721	ROBINSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin M. Burd	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ma	arch 2007.					
, <u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3-6,9-17 and 19-26 is/are pending in	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,9-17 and 19-26</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,9-17,19-26</u> is/are rejected.	,—					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	,	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
_ ,	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/606,721 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

This office action, in response to the amendment filed 3/8/2007, is a non-final 1. office action.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejections of claims 1 and 3-6 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, new grounds of rejection stated below. Additional clarification of the rejection of claims 12, 13, 20 and 21 is stated below. The rejections of claims 14-17 and 19 are withdrawn in view of the amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 14-17, 19 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claims 14-17 and 19, Figures 5 and 8 of the instant application disclose the modified input signal and the instruction signal being combined prior to transmission. Therefore the signals are not transmitted in a parallel relationship.

Regarding claim 26, the specification does not disclose transmitting the peak reduced input signal separately from the instruction signal (figure 8, block 220).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leva et al (US 2002/0061068).

Regarding claims 9-11, Leva discloses a communication device that modifies an input signal to reduce peak signals associated with the input signal. This is shown in figures 1-3 and paragraph 0011. A power amplifier will amplify the compensated signal (paragraph 0007) and the receiver is able to restore the original signal (paragraph 0011). The received signal is associated with a scale factor of one.

5. Claims 12, 13, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muller et al "OFDM with Reduced Peak-to-Average Power Ratio by

Art Unit: 2611

Multiple Signal Representation", vol. 52, no. 1/2, 2/1997, XP 000991143. Ghanadan et al (US 6,294,956) provides information regarding the term replica.

Regarding claims 12, 13, 20 and 21, Muller discloses a method and apparatus for splitting an input signal into a plurality of replica signals (figure 5). The replica signals are scaled to reduce the peak values (figure 5 and page 63). The signals are combined in the adder of figure 5. The combined signal is amplified by a power amplifier (page 59). Muller does not disclose what constitutes a replica signal. Muller discloses the carriers are divided into subcarriers. Ghanadan discloses an amplification system shown in figure 17. Ghanadan discloses the original signal S is transformed into signals that are more power efficient by selectively shaping different portions of the signal S (column 14, lines 26-32). This will produce signals with reduced PAR. As such, a signal with different carriers or tones can be selectively separated (column 14, lines 32-36). Figure 17 shows a splitter 138 that provides replicas of the signal to two orthogonal filters 134 and 136. The filters 134 and 136 shape the frequency content of the different versions of the signal to improve power efficiency of the transformed signals X1 and X2 compared to the power efficiency of the original signal (column 14, lines 39-45). The context of Ghanadan's "replica" term is consistent with Muller's signal shown in figure 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/606,721

Art Unit: 2611

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1, 3-6 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leva et al (US 2002/0061068) in view of Tong et al (US 2003/0099302).

Regarding claim 1, Leva discloses a communication device that modifies an input signal to reduce peak signals associated with the input signal. This is shown in figures 1-3 and paragraph 0011. A power amplifier will amplify the compensated signal (paragraph 0007) and the receiver is able to restore the original signal (paragraph 0011). Leva does not discloses shaping a modulation constellation of the input signal to reduce the peak values associated with the input signal. Tong discloses constellation shaping as shown in figure 5. Constellation shaping is a method of reducing the power required to transmit data relative to the power required for an unshaped constellation while keeping the minimum distance between constellation points the same (paragraphs 0054-0057). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the shaping components of Tong into the device of Leva to further reduce the power of the system.

Regarding claims 3, 4 and 25, figures 1-3 disclose the combining of an instruction signal with the input signal to generate a peak reduced signal.

Regarding claim 5, Leva discloses the PAR reduction is used in an OFDM system (paragraph 0043).

Regarding claim 6, Leva discloses the DAC that converts the peak reduced signal to an analog signal prior to transmission (paragraph 0043).

Application/Control Number: 10/606,721

receiver to recover the transmitted data.

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 22, Leva discloses a communication device that modifies an input signal to reduce peak signals associated with the input signal. This is shown in figures 1-3 and paragraph 0011. A power amplifier will amplify the compensated signal (paragraph 0007) and the receiver is able to restore the original signal (paragraph 0011). Leva does not discloses shaping a modulation constellation of the input signal to reduce the peak values associated with the input signal. Tong discloses constellation shaping as shown in figure 5. Constellation shaping is a method of reducing the power required to transmit data relative to the power required for an unshaped constellation while keeping the minimum distance between constellation points the same (paragraphs 0054-0057). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the shaping components of Tong into the device of

Regarding claims 23 and 24, Tong discloses scaling the constellation (paragraph 0090).

Leva to further reduce the power of the system. The shaping will be known in the

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M. Burd whose telephone number is (571) 272-3008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,721 Page 7

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin M. Burd 5/21/2007

KEVIN BURD
PRIMARY EXAMINER